

International Journal on

Management Education & Emerging Technology

Esports vs. Physical Sports: A Comparative Study on Training

Kathrine Cayle Filosopo¹, Mark Joshua Ado², Jamie Cyril Del Poso³

¹Polytechnic University of the Philippines

Abstract

Esports refers to competitive computer gaming, online athletics, and virtual gaming. In recent years, the prevalence of Esports has increased dramatically. As a result of the introduction of distinct competitive categories, electronic games are now regarded as sports, or esports for short. However, many continue to believe that esports should not be regarded as a sport due to their lack of physicality. The objective of this quantitative study was to determine if there is a difference in training between Esports and Competitive Physical Sports athletes. One hundred thirteen (113) Competitive Physical Sports players and eighty-three (83) Esports players from the Polytechnic University of the Philippines were selected at random using simple random sampling to participate in this study. The questionnaire was subdivided into two subcategories: physical training created by the researchers and mental training derived from the study of Carrani, et al (2021). The results of the study revealed that athletes in esports "often" improve both their physical and mental capabilities. Meanwhile, data indicates that athletes who compete in competitive physical sports "almost always" train physically and mentally. In conclusion, the findings of this study show that there is a significant difference between Esports and Competitive Physical Sports athletes in terms of their training.

Article Info

Keywords:
Esports, competitive
physical sports,
frequency of training,
physical training, mental
training

Co-Author Affiliation

^{2,3}Polytechnic University of the Philippines

Date of Submission: 06-06-2024
Date of Acceptance: 13-06-2024
Date of Publication: 24-06-2024
Ijmeet/Volume2, Issue2 (Jun-Sept)2024

INTRODUCTION

According to Bayrakdar (2020), Esports is described as a sport played online with multiple players, where they can meet and compete against each other at a professional level. It primarily relies on electronic systems, such as computers, with players and teams controlling their actions through human-computer interfaces (Hamari, 2016; Kuusisto, 2021). Over the last decade, there has been a significant surge of interest in the gaming industry, which further escalated during the pandemic (Chang, 2019; Pu, et al. 2021). The rapid advancements in modern technologies have allowed video game enthusiasts to elevate their gaming experiences and actively participate in competitive gaming, popularly known as Esports (electronic sports). However, for most people, the perception of a sports athlete does not include spending all day in front of a computer, playing video games (Kane and Spradley, 2017).

People also argue, especially avid fans of "traditional" sports, that Esports cannot be termed a sport as Esports players appear to be merely sitting riveted in their chairs (Hamari, 2017). Due to the lack of physicality in the game and its close connection to the business community, recognizing Esports as a sport in the traditional sense is still being questioned (Parry, 2018). However, some have pointed out that in an officially accepted mind sport like chess, although not comparable with other physical sports, the physical performance of moving a chess piece is still necessary as it determines the success of a move. Similarly, rapidly moving a mouse and successfully directing an avatar through computer mechanisms or handheld controllers requires precise physical competence (Jenny, et al., 2016).

Despite its rapidly growing popularity, Esports is still relatively new, particularly to the older generation, and thus, not universally acknowledged as a sport (Chang, 2019). The debate about whether Esports should be regarded as an actual sport in a traditional sense remains unresolved. Many still believe that Esports players are no different from video game enthusiasts who play games solely for recreational and leisure purposes, dismissing them as mere addicts to gaming in general (Kang, et al., 2020; Carrani, et al., 2022).

This study was conducted to discuss and determine the similarities and differences between the training practices used by competitive physical athletes and Esports athletes. The data from the survey questionnaire were gathered from the athletes of the Polytechnic University of the Philippines (PUP) who took part in their respective sports training. The researchers focused mainly on the respondents studying at the Polytechnic University of the Philippines (PUP) since it was accessible to them. The researchers selected all team sports from Competitive Physical sports and Esports. The same survey questions were presented to each group of respondents. The researchers faced the challenge of limited existing studies on training, and their respondents were amateurs rather than professionals.

The results of this study will serve as baseline information and literature for future researchers interested in studying topics related to Esports, such as the effects of Esports on athlete performance. The research will provide valuable data that can serve as a foundation for their future studies.

So far, only one research has been published comparing the training and competition routines of both Esports and physical sports; however, the study was only able to survey elite Esports athletes and compared them with available research on the training of physical sports. This study aims to contribute further knowledge to this research gap regarding Esports training. Specifically, this paper aims to determine the similarities and differences in training between Esports and physical sports, providing more credible data regarding their training.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Esports is competitive video gaming that takes place in the virtual environment with the assistance of electronic devices, such as computers or video game consoles (Candela and Jakee, 2018). This emerging sport has grown rapidly in recent years, to the point that it is beginning to pose a threat to physical sports (Choi, 2019; Warman, 2015).

The pandemic also created an unprecedented challenge, not only to public health but even in the field of sports; all athletic activities, such as sporting leagues and events, were unable to take place (Pu, et al., 2021). According to Agrawal, et al. (2022), people enjoy watching and engaging in eSports because competitions are streamed online, allowing the viewers to interact with one another and giving them a channel to connect with people.

Parry (2018) argues that esports are not sports. He believed that it is inadequately "human", they lack direct physicality, they fail to employ decisive whole-body control and whole body and thus cannot contribute to the development of the whole human; and their patterns of creation, production, ownership, and promotion place severe constraints for the emergence of the kind of stable and persistent institutions characteristic of sports governance.

Contrary to what Parry argues, Pizzo, et al. (2018), stated that depending solely on physicality cannot be a requirement for an activity to be classified as a sport. Moreover, controlling and managing the game requires human-computer interaction, which can be physically strenuous for players (Hamari, et al., 2017).

The study of Nagorsky and Wiemeyer (2020), discussed the structure of performance and training in Esports. "Considering the lack of theory and empirical studies, this paper aims to create a basic framework for future training research in esports and to provide a perspective on esports performance that integrates sports science and (digital) game science" (Nagrosky and Wiemeyer, 2020).

The Study of Carrani, et al. is relatively close to the aim of this research. The aim of their study was to analyze elite eSports players' characteristics and their training and competition routines, and of comparing them with data on their counterparts in traditional sports.

DATA AND METHODOLOGY

Participants This research was conducted at the Polytechnic University of the Philippines in Sta. Mesa Manila, with participants from team sports, including Esports and competitive physical sports. The total population of the study consisted of 159 physical sports players and 104 Esports players. The respondents from physical sports were Baseball, Softball, Basketball, Volleyball, Beach Volleyball, Football, Futsal, and Sepaktakraw. On the other hand, the participants in Esports were Valorant, CODM, MLBB, LOL, DOTA2, Tekken, TFT, and Wildrift. A comparison was made between the two sports. Based on the computed sample size, the respondents to the study were 113 from physical sports and 83 from Esports who were currently enrolled in the A.Y. 2022-2023.

Instrument

A research instrument, according to Sugiyono (2012), is used to quantify and observe natural or social events. The findings for this quantitative study were gathered using a questionnaire designed and administered by the researchers, which used a four-point Likert scale. This questionnaire was helpful in gathering insights and knowledge about the similarities and differences between Esports and Competitive Physical athletes' training. A checklist created by the researcher served as the study's primary tool. For the respondents, the survey questionnaire was divided into two sub-categories—Physical and Mental training. The questionnaire underwent validation and feedback from the research adviser and validators before use in the study.

The second part of the survey tackled the players' mental training. This part of the survey questionnaire was adopted from another study. Each statement was provided four options to choose from where the answers correspond to the following scale:

Data Collection

The proposed title was examined, revised, and rechecked by the researcher's adviser to ensure consistency on the research topic. A questionnaire checklist was developed in order to elicit accurate responses to the

study's objectives. This questionnaire was divided into two parts. The first part of the questionnaire checklist was developed by the researchers for assessing Physical training. It was then submitted to the research adviser and validators for examination and verification to ensure the reliability of the data. The second part of the questionnaire (mental training) was adopted by the researchers from another study. A letter requesting permission from the coaches of the College of Human Kinetics to conduct research and study on Esports and Competitive Physical Sports players at the Polytechnic University of the Philippines.

The data that has been collected by the researchers from the survey questionnaire has been validated, categorized, tabulated, and analyzed in accordance with the research design that was described in the methodology.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The frequency of training in Esports is 5-7 times per week (32.53%), and they train either once a day or three or more times per day (40.96%). Their training session typically lasts for 1-2 hours with a percentage of 45.78. One to two weeks before the competition, the respondents train a lot more frequently than usual with the percentage of 53.01. Esports players responded that they always attend every training session with a frequency of 38 and a percentage of 45.78. On the other hand, in competitive physical sports, the frequency of training per week is 3-4 times with a percentage of 63.72, and they train once a day with 49.56% that normally lasts for around 3-4 hours with a percentage of 53.98. Players in competitive physical sports practice much more frequently than usual, one to two weeks before the competition, with a percentage of 69.03. Lastly, the respondents often attend their training sessions with 81.42%.

Based on the accumulated answers of the Esports respondents regarding their physical training, the statements "The training includes playing with other competing teams from other schools for practice" and "The training helps assess the strengths and weaknesses of our plays after the tune-up game" both gained the highest weighted mean of 3.28 and were interpreted as "Almost Always". However, the statement "The training requires any type of physical workout" has the lowest weighted mean of 1.65 and was interpreted as "Almost Never". In the end, the researchers found out that Esports players "Often" do physical training with a general weighted mean of 2.75. Meanwhile, in terms of Esports players' mental training, the statement "I improve my skills by listening carefully to the advice and instruction from coaches and managers" has the highest weighted mean of 3.43 and is interpreted as "Almost Always". However, the statement "When a coach or manager tells me how to correct a mistake I've made, I tend to take it personally and feel upset" gained the lowest weighted mean of 1.92 and was interpreted as "Sometimes". Final results showed that Esports players "Often" undergo mental training, with a general weighted mean of 2.99.

Based on the data acquired from Competitive physical sports respondents on their physical training, the statement "The training starts off with warm-ups and stretching before each training session. (i.e., stretching, cardio, etc.)" has the highest weighted mean of 3.88 and is interpreted as "Almost Always". Although it received the lowest weighted mean of 3.43, the statement "The training includes playing with other competing teams from other schools for practice" is still interpreted as "Almost Always". Finally, the study discovered that competitive physical sports players "Almost Always" practice physical training, with a general weighted mean of 3.75. Responses from Competitive physical sports players' mental training, The statement "I improve my skills by listening carefully to the advice and instruction from coaches and managers" has the highest weighted mean of 3.63 and is interpreted as "Almost Always". However, the statement "

When a coach or manager criticizes me, I become upset rather than feel helped." gained the lowest weighted

mean of 2.38 and was interpreted as "Sometimes". At the end of the study, the researchers discovered that competitive physical sports players "Almost Always" engage in mental training, with a general weighted mean of 3.28.

T-tests showed a significant difference between Esports and competitive physical sports in mental training and physical preparation, rejecting the null hypothesis and indicating significant differences.

CONCLUSION

The study indicates that the frequency of training for esports players is fairly similar to the practice of athletes in competitive physical sports. Esports athletes train almost as frequently as their non-electronic counterparts and use similar tactics to increase their performance (training per day, the number of hours of training, and preparation before the actual game).

The survey reveals clear differences between e-sports and competitive physical sports regarding physical competence. Esports athletes are generally less physically active than players in competitive physical sports. However, some esports athletes still engage in warm-up or stretching routines voluntarily, even if not required to do so. They also frequently compete against teams from other colleges and universities because it helps them understand their team's strengths and weaknesses.

The researchers concluded that physical sports players excel more in physical training compared to esports as they are required to be physically fit, compete against teams from other colleges, and be present on the same field or court. Regarding mental training, the study found that physical sports players receive more mental training compared to esports athletes. In conclusion, there is a significant difference between physical sports and esports in terms of both mental and physical training approaches.

REFERENCES

Baumrind, D. (1968). Authoritarian vs. authoritative parental control. Adolescence, 3(11), 255.

Baumrind, D. (1991). The influence of parenting style on adolescent competence and substance use. The journal of early adolescence, 11(1), 56-95.

Bronfenbrenner, U. (1979). The ecology of human development: Experiments by nature and design. Harvard university press.

Bai (2014). The Relationship between Internetaddiction and Parental Rearing Patterns. Central China Normal University

Bolin, J. H. (2014). Introduction to mediation, moderation, and conditional process analysis: a regression-based approach.

Ceci SJ.Urie Bronfen brenner.Am Psychol, 2006,61 (2): 173-174

Chotpitayasunondh, V., & Douglas, K. M. (2016). How "phubbing" becomes the norm: The antecedents and consequences of snubbing via smartphone. Computers in human behavior, 63, 9-18.

Cheng (2023). The influence of parenting style, emotion and personality on Internet addiction of college students. Heilongjiang University

Ding &Liu,2022. The Relationship Between Technoference and Internet Gaming Disorder: The Mediating Role of the Need to Belong and the Moderation Role of Friendship Quality. Journal of Psychological Science. 2022, (06)

Davis, R. A. (2001). A cognitive-behavioral model of pathological Internet use. Computers in human behavior, 17(2), 187-195.

Derevensky, J. L., Hayman, V., & Gilbeau, L. (2019). Behavioral addictions: Excessive gambling, gaming, internet, and smartphone use among children and adolescents. Pediatric Clinics, 66(6), 1163-1182.

Dong (2021). Study on the Relationship between Parental Style, Learning Motivation and Mobile Phone Dependence of Middle Schoo Students. Yanbian University

Fard, Z. S., Mousavi, P. S., & Pooravari, M. (2015). Predictive role of parental acceptance, rejection and control in the internet addiction of the female students. International Journal of Applied Behavioral Sciences, 2(3), 42-51.

Jiang, Lu Jiang, & Xu. (2010). Revision of the Short Form Egna Minnen av Barndoms Uppfostran for Chinese. Psychological Development and Education, (1), 94-99.

Jia, Yao, Li& Wang (2024). Relation of anxiety and depression to social support and resilience in male felons. Chinese Mental Health Journal. 2024, (04)

Kurek, A., Jose, P. E., & Stuart, J. (2019). 'I did it for the LULZ': How the dark personality predicts online disinhibition and aggressive online behavior in adolescence. Computers in Human Behavior, 98, 31-40.

Kokka, I., Mourikis, I., Nicolaides, N. C., Darviri, C., Chrousos, G. P., Kanaka-Gantenbein, C., & Bacopoulou, F. (2021). Exploring the effects of problematic internet use on adolescent sleep: a systematic review. International journal of environmental research and public health, 18(2), 760.

Lan, Liang &Liu (2023). An Exploration of the Differences in Fathers, and Mothers, Parenting Styles and Their Parent-child Relationships. Journal of Western China. 2023, (17).

Lin& Lin (2024). The influence of parental upbringing on adolescent social anxiety: The moderating role of family adaptability.

Liu, Liu & He. (2022). The effect of parenting styles on children's emotions. Medical Diet and Health (01),183-185.

Liu, Yang, Lin, Yu& Zhou (2017). Smartphone Addiction: Concepts, Measurements, and Factors. Chinese Journal of Clinical Psychology. 2017, (01)

Liu, X. (2020). Parenting styles and health risk behavior of left-behind children: The mediating effect of cognitive emotion regulation. Journal of Child and Family Studies, 29, 676-685.

Lee, S. J., & Chae, Y. G. (2007). Children's Internet use in a family context: Influence on family relationships and parental mediation. Cyberpsychology & behavior, 10(5), 640-644.

Maccoby, E. E., & Martin, J. A. (1983). Socialization in the context of the family: Parent-child interaction. Handbook of child psychology: formerly Carmichael's Manual of child psychology/Paul H. Mussen, editor.

McDaniel, B. T., & Coyne, S. M. (2014). Technoference": The interference of technology in couple relationships and implications for women's personal and relational well-being. Psychology of Popular Media Culture 5, 1 (2014), Advance online publication.

Morris, A. S., Silk, J. S., Steinberg, L., Myers, S. S., & Robinson, L. R. (2007). The role of the family context in the development of emotion regulation. Social development, 16(2), 361-388.

Mo (2022). Nomotism, Negative Emotions, and Internet Addiction in College Students: Based on the Latent Growth Model

Niu, X., Gao, X., Zhang, M., Yang, Z., Yu, M., Wang, W., ... & Zhang, Y. (2022). Meta-analysis of structural and functional brain alterations in internet gaming disorder. Frontiers in psychiatry, 13, 1029344.

Perris, C., Jacobsson, L., Linndström, H., von Knorring, L., & Perris, H. (1980). Development of a new inventory for assessing memories of parental rearing behaviour. Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica, 61(4), 265-274.

Pop-Jordanova, N., & Loleska, S. (2021). Neuropsychological correlates of internet addiction. prilozi, 42(3), 17-28.

Saarni, C. (1999). The development of emotional competence. Guilford Press.

Sun (2023). Research on Chain Mediation Model Between Parenting Style and Academic Self Efficacy. China Metallurgical Education. 2023, (06).

Sun, Tong, Zheng& Zhao (2017). Case-control study on the risk factors of internet addiction disorder tendency among adolescents. Modern Preventive Medicine. 2017, (12)

Suler J. The Online Disinhibition Effect. Cyber Psychology & Behavior, 7, 321-326[J]. DOI, 2004, 10: 1094931041291295.

Song (2020). The Effect Mechanism and intervention of parent-child Technoference on smartphone addiction among adolescent, Central China Normal University.

Jiao, T., Guo, S., Zhang, Y., Li, Y., **e, X., Ma, Y., ... & Tang, J. (2022). Associations of depressive and anxiety symptoms with non-suicidal self-injury and suicidal attempt among Chinese adolescents: the mediation role of sleep quality. Frontiers in psychiatry, 13, 1018525.

Wachs, S., & Wright, M. F. (2018). Associations between bystanders and perpetrators of online hate: The moderating role of toxic online disinhibition. International journal of environmental research and public health, 15(9), 2030.

Wang (2021). Effects of Sports Behavior on Depression Trend of Adolescent:Based on the Mediating Effect of Sports Motivation and Subjective Exercise Experience. Sports & Science. 2021, (06)

Wang, Peng, Cheng, Rong, Hu, Xu, Guan& Zha (2023). Prevalence of and impact of depression and anxiety on suicidal behaviors among high school students: a cross-sectional survey in five provinces, China. Chinese Journal of Public Health. 2023, (10)

Wang, He, Liu, Shou& Zeng (2006). A Comparative Study of Parenting Styles of Adolescents Tending to Internet Addiction and Non-Internet Addicted Adolescents. Compilation of Papers from the Inaugural

Meeting of the Branch of Psychosomatic Medicine of Zhejiang Provincial Medical Association and the 2006 Annual Meeting of Zhejiang Provincial Academic Conference of Psychosomatic Medicine

Wei, Sun, Shang, Liu, Cui, Wang& Li (2017). Relationship between parenting style and internet addiction in Chinese adolescents:a meta-analysis. Modern Preventive Medicine. 2017, (19)

Xie, Hu, Wei& Li (2019). Effect of Maternal Parenting Style on Social Adjustment in 4Years Old Children: the Mediating Role of Executive Function. Chinese Journal of Clinical Psychology. 2019, (03)

Xu (2003). Human behaviour and the social environment. SOCIAL SCIENCES ACADEMIC PRESS (CHINA).

Xiong, Shen, Yang, Wang, Yi& Zhu (2023). Alexithymia and Adolescents' Non-Suicidal Self-Injury: The Chain Mediating of Maladaptive Emotion Regulation and Depression. Chinese Journal of Clinical Psychology. 2023, (03)

Yang, J., Wang, N., Gao, L., & Wang, X. (2021). Deviant peer affiliation and adolescents' cyberbullying perpetration: Online disinhibition and perceived social support as moderators. Adolescent and youth services review, 127, 106066.

Yao, Chen & Zhao (2004). The Study on the Age Characters, Development Trend and Sex Differences of Preschoolers' Emotion Competence. Psychological Development and Education. 2004, (02)

Yu (2019). A Study on the Relationship between Parenting Style, Parent-Child Relationship and School Adjustment of Children with Developmental Disabilities. Chongqing Normal University

Zhong, Zhou, Qi& Liu (2023). Parental Technoference and Adolescent Smartphone Addiction: The Mediating Role of Self-disgust and Social Sensitivity. Chinese Journal of Clinical Psychology. 2023, (06)

Zhan, Gu& Wang (2023). The Relationship Between Parenting Types and Adolescent Internet Addiction: The Mediating Role of Cognitive Emotion Regulation Strategies. Studies of Psychology and Behavior. 2023, (06)

Zhang, Liang, Chen (2013). Social Reticence in Chinese Children: Relations with Temperament and Social Adjustment. Acta Psychologica Sinica. 2013, (01)

Zhang, J., Dong, H., Zhao, Z., Chen, S., Jiang, Q., Du, X., & Dong, G. H. (2020). Altered neural processing of negative stimuli in people with internet gaming disorder: fMRI evidence from the comparison with recreational game users. Journal of affective disorders, 264, 324-332.

Zhang, Luo, Pan, Wang, Nie& Li (2024). The Association Between Non-Suicidal Self-Injury and Suicide Attempts in Adolescents: the Moderating Role of Anxiety and Depression. Chinese Journal of Clinical Psychology. 2024, (03)